COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4870-01 Bill No.: SB 928

Subject: Business and Commerce; Entertainment, Sports and Amusements; Motels and

Hotels; Taxation and Revenue - Sales and Use

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 23, 2010

Bill Summary: Would modify provisions of law regarding the sales tax treatment of

purchases for resale.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
General Revenue	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 9 pages.

L.R. No. 4870-01 Bill No. SB 928 Page 2 of 9 February 23, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Conservation Commission	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	
Parks, and Soil and Water	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	
School District Trust	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 20121	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4870-01 Bill No. SB 928 Page 3 of 9 February 23, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Local Government	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) stated that many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the Governor.

L.R. No. 4870-01 Bill No. SB 928 Page 4 of 9 February 23, 2010

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assume this proposal would not result in additional costs or savings to their organization. BAP officials noted that the proposal would add definitions to the sales tax statutes regarding sales for resale, and assume the proposal would have a significant impact on general and total state revenues. BAP deferred to the Department of Revenue for an estimate of the impact.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** (MDC) assume this proposal could have a positive impact on MDC funds; however, MDC was not able to provide an estimate of the impact and deferred to the Department of Revenue for that estimate.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization, and deferred to the Department of Revenue for an estimate of the fiscal impact to the state.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** (DNR) assume this proposal would make certain purchases made for resale exempt or excluded from sales and use tax if the subsequent sale is taxed in the state or another state, is for resale, is excluded from tax, is subject to tax but is exempt, or is exempt in another state where the subsequent sale occurs.

The proposal would clarify that operators of amusement parks and places of entertainment or recreation, including games or athletic events, must charge sales taxes on the amount of gross receipts charged for admission, but any subsequent sale of the admissions or seating accommodations would not be subject to sales tax. This proposal would clarify that operators of hotels, motels, taverns, restaurants, drugstores, dining cars, or tourist camps must charge sales taxes on the amount of gross receipts charged for all rooms, meals, and drinks furnished at the establishment, but any subsequent sale of those same rooms, meals, and drinks would be exempt from sales tax.

Adding exemptions from sales tax would decrease the amount of funding available in the Parks and Soils Sales Tax Funds. These funds have been used for the acquisition and development, maintenance and operation of state parks and historic sites and to assist agricultural landowners through voluntary programs.

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** (DOR) assume this proposal would codify several tax exemptions, and substantially decrease total state revenue compared to the current state of the law.

Tangible personal property sales.

This proposal would make a purchase of tangible personal property or taxable service for resale exempt, or excluded from sales tax, if the subsequent sale is taxed in Missouri or any other state; is for resale; is excluded from tax under current provisions; is subject to tax but exempted under current provisions; or is exempt in another state.

Admission ticket sales.

This proposal would require the operator of a place of amusement to charge sales tax on the amount it receives for admissions or seating accommodations. A subsequent sale of such admission or seating accommodation would not be subject to sales tax, but the proposed language would not apply if the purchaser is exempt under current provisions.

Hotel and restaurant sales.

This proposal would require the operator of a hotel or restaurant to charge sales tax on the amount it receives for rooms or meals. A subsequent sale of room or meal would not be subject to sales tax, but the proposed language would not apply if the purchaser is exempt under current provisions.

DOR officials assume this proposed language would result in revenue reductions in excess of \$100,000 per year; however, since DOR is unable to track exempt and excluded sales, a more specific estimate of the impact is not available.

Oversight will indicate an impact in excess of \$100,000 per year to the General Revenue Fund and to local governments. Since the sales tax rates for other state funds which receive sales tax revenues are lower than for the General Revenue Fund, Oversight will indicate unknown losses for those funds. Further, since the proposal includes an emergency clause, a full year's impact would be expected for FY 2011.

L.R. No. 4870-01 Bill No. SB 928 Page 6 of 9 February 23, 2010

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from **St. Louis County** assume this proposal would have an insignificant impact on their organization.

Officials from **Platte County** and the **City of Centralia** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Parkway School District** assume this proposal could affect sales tax revenues but costs cannot be estimated at this time.

L.R. No. 4870-01 Bill No. SB 928 Page 7 of 9 February 23, 2010

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
<u>Revenue reduction</u> - sales tax exemptions	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND			
<u>Revenue reduction</u> - sales tax exemptions	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	<u>(Unknown)</u>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
PARKS, AND SOIL AND WATER FUND			
<u>Revenue reduction</u> - sales tax exemptions	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON PARKS, AND SOIL AND WATER FUND	(Unknown)	<u>(Unknown)</u>	(Unknown)
SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST FUND			
<u>Revenue reduction</u> - sales tax exemptions	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON SCHOOL DISTRICT TRUST FUND	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

L.R. No. 4870-01 Bill No. SB 928 Page 8 of 9 February 23, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
Revenue reduction - sales tax exemptions	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)	(More than \$100,000)
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	(10 1/10.)		
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal would define certain types of transactions as taxable or not taxable retail sales. Therefore, the proposal could have an impact to small businesses involved in the specific types of transactions addressed.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would define certain purchases made for resale as not taxable sales at retail.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 4870-01 Bill No. SB 928 Page 9 of 9 February 23, 2010

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Conservation
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Revenue
Platte County
St. Louis County
City of Centralia
Parkway School District

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 23, 2010